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Abstract
The idea of developing a framework, to integrate design studios and computer graphics, is derived from the nature of architectural design, which has always combined creativity and technology. Furthermore, as computers are being increasingly used in design studios, a systematic digital pedagogy, which can take advantage of the strengths of computers in all stages of design, should be developed simultaneously to facilitate learning.

This paper attempts to propose a playful and effective digital design process that can be flexibly applied to computer-based design studios and design-based computer graphics courses. The pedagogical framework is based on a set of digital design games that follows a general design process presented by the author. First, the components of digital design games will be defined and the relations of those game components will be clearly depicted. Then, a framework will be proposed, followed by the use of an example demonstrating applications of the framework.

Continual advancements in digital technology have created generation gaps among teachers at architectural schools. A structured digital design process can help teachers, with varying levels of computer-capabilities know what, when, and how, adjustments should be made to achieve the goal of digital design education.
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1 Introduction

The scope of computing in Architecture has broadened tremendously since the introduction of Computer Aided Architectural Design written by William J. Mitchell in 1977. The development of digital media not only provides new production methods such as computer rendering and modeling, but expands our abilities to create, see, express, compose, and understand design in new ways (Neiman and Do 1999). Architectural schools have increasingly incorporated computer-aided design into design studio over the past twenty years. Although a greater number of teachers are now skilled at applying digital media to design, rapid integration of computing technology has created communication and generation gaps within the profession (Loy 2001). It is difficult for experienced studio instructors with limited computer capabilities to take advantage of digital media. “As the software embodying knowledge becomes more user-friendly, it will give architects new power to bring together a multitude of issues in a holistic way without themselves being specialists” (Seebohm 2001). This is certainly a wonderful idea, which I look forward to, as this ideal software should empower the design potential. However, before it is realized, how can instructors with limited computer capabilities bring together issues of a digital design process? The structured format of digital design process and the pedagogical model presented in this paper will attempt to clarify and systematize a digital design process so that instructors have a clear idea of how it works. Consequently, even inexperienced computer-capable instructors should be able to include digital media in the design process by incorporating technical teaching assistants in collaboration with other computer-based courses. The integration of a design studio with computer graphics would allow computers to explore new architectural design possibilities rather than leaving students themselves to bring coherence to the two fields (Wu 2002).

In conventional computer graphics courses for entry-level students, a great deal of attention is placed on acquiring software skills. However, working too much on the level of operating technological skills in an instrumental way tends to be boring and ineffective. So, how can beginners of architecture learn technological skills playfully and effectively? The approach proposed here is to learn computer skills through the process of operating a series of digital design games, which, at the same time, can be a potential new means of understanding aspects of design and digital technologies. Therefore, creating games that are intrinsically interesting and relevant to learning about design is one of the purposes this paper tries to achieve.

2 Analytical Review

2.1 Design Metaphors

Game, play and puzzle are three powerful metaphors to interpret the nature of design. Archea (1987) suggested that the best description for architects’ uncommon mode of action is puzzle-making. Puzzle aligns itself with experimentation, testing of procedures, and challenging representation or abstractions. Play and design are both states of being absorbed in action for its own sake. Woodbury (2001) proposed that putting play and design into metaphorical relation, lets each inform the other. Play is often aligned with phrases like playing around, speculation, ability, and performance. Play is generally an open-ended activity with unique and ephemeral results.

Game possesses many different characteristics that can be classified in numerous ways. Whether it be a bet, lottery, roulette or baccarat, players’ attitudes remain the same. They do nothing but await the outcomes. On the other hand, a boxer, runner, and chess player, must work as hard as they can in order to win (Caillol 1961). However, Game is not always associated with winning or losing. The essence of a game lies in a person’s immersion in the process, subject to rules, and immersion implies a complete absorption in the activity (Radford 1997). Game is aligned with strategies and tactics or procedures with potential, known, or desired outcomes. Games have a predictable outcome because they involve well-defined systems, and they also capture attention by providing an unfolding understanding of the rules of a system (Cheng 1999).

One reason that this paper uses a system’s rules game as the analogy to design is that game has a predictable outcome and well-defined systems. For architectural students just entering this complicated domain, a more systematic pedagogy with less serious atmosphere can better help them to acquire design knowledge and skills. Psychologists have confirmed that a playful attitude gives a person the chance to experiment by reducing associated penalties (Lieberman 1977). Moreover, a well-defined system provides studio instructors with limited computer abilities the opportunity to plan out necessary technical assistances in advance and incorporate digital technologies in their design studios.

2.2 Design Methods

The pedagogical doctrines evolved in the studios of the Ecole des Beaux-Arts in Paris during the 18th and 19th centuries have
For the past twenty years, the application of computers has changed the design method (Liu 2001). Many famous architects such as, Frank Gehry, Peter Eisenman, Daniel Libeskind, Greg Lynn, Tom Mayne, and Eric Owen Moss and so on, have created amazing spaces through the assistance of computer technology. Those methods are changing the way that buildings will be designed in the future.

To sum up, although design methods might be changed through time, media trends, and designer’s personal perspective towards the project, the dominant design thinking underneath can be integrated into the sequence of analysis and synthesis. Therefore, I propose a general and fixed design process for the discussion in this paper: information collection, data analysis, concept generation, design development, detail design and design representation.

3 Digital Design Process

3.1 The Components of Digital Design Games

Game and design can be put into analogies in many ways as stated above. The study here has analyzed and characterized six elements that are derived from both game and design. At the same time, it has the potential to encourage students to become more creative and imaginative by studying the components of the game.

The most important criterion is that the game must be relevant to learning about design using digital media. The content of the game can be changeable according to the course objectives, but the components of the game should be fixed by the following six elements: context, digital tools, clues, exploration, interaction and reward.

- Context: theme of the game relates to learning about design.
- Digital Tools: various kinds of digital media that can be used in different stages of the game, including both
hardware and software. For example, in the concept
generation stage of a course titled Digital Design Studio
instructed by the author, students are encouraged to
engage in all kinds of digital media that can best inspire and
represent their ideas, as shown on figure 1.
- Clues: instructions, hints or rules of the game.
- Exploration: possible paths of the game that need to be
  traced out.
- Interaction: a platform or forum for multiple players
to receive and make comments and communicate with
colleagues and jurors to improve their performances.
- Reward: the self-fulfilling intrinsic playfulness derived
  from the game.

3.2 The Relations of Components
The six components of digital design games are all related.
However, according to their individual characteristics some of
them are more relevant than others. I propose to organize them
into two groups: game-creating and game-playing.
To apply the game-creating and game-playing process,
instructors should be as much involved as students, but in
different ways. Instructors are the main game-creators and partial
game-players, while students are the main game-players and
partial game-creators.

3.3 The Framework of Digital Design Process
with Games

Figure 2. Relations of Digital Design Game Components

The digital design games proposed in this paper are embedded
in general design process to provide an understanding of design
operations. To implement the essence of game-creating and
game-playing, the general design process and game components
are combined and developed into six packages of digital
design games. Game components (context, digital tool, clue,
exploration, interaction and reward) and design process which
are (information collection, data analysis, concept generation,
design development, detail design and design representation),
are both fixed. Digital design games form the horizontal structure
of the framework, and the general design process defines the
vertical structure sequentially.

3.4 Process of Game-creating and Game-playing

Figure 3. Framework of Digital Design Process with Games.

Figure 4. Process of Game-creating and Game-playing.
playing
Each of the game packages is sequential. Participants must play the games one after another. Instructors and students create and play games under a pedagogical structure, which will direct all players to achieve the end of the game.
To further clarify the use of this framework, I would like to further emphasize more on how instructors create the games and when students are supposed to join the games. In addition, further explanations will be given for how to run the six packages of the games.
Before the digital design games start, instructors must have the game-creating components ready, which means that the theme of the design, the digital tools that can be applied to the design process, and the game’s instructions are made available to all students. However, one of the components of game-playing - interaction - should also be prepared beforehand. Because interaction of the game-playing means a virtual or physical platform and may allow players to communicate with colleagues and juries, the preparation for setting up hardware and software may be time-consuming. Students are asked to follow the clues to explore possible paths using digital tools. The time limit for each game is restricted. Students have to complete the exploration and interaction of the current stage before advancing to the next game. If any of them fail, they must spend extra time going over the clues of the stage and study further instructions given by the instructor until they have successfully completed the stage. Players should be aware of the deadline of each game, as the starting point of each game is the same for everyone.
It is important to remember that the reward of the game is the self-fulfilling and intrinsic playfulness of the game itself. While the game is still in progress no player will be asked to terminate the game for any reason. After completing the game, students will each have their own individual interpretations of reward.

4  Example: Application of the Digital Design Framework
The digital design framework is developed for integrating design studios and computer graphics courses. The objectives of the framework are as follows:

• To use digital media to collect information, to analyze data, to generate concept, to develop design, to design details and to represent design.
• To understand the design process.
• To have playful learning experiences.

A number of design subjects can be explored through the game-creating and game-playing process described in this paper. Based on the digital design framework, many kinds of digital design curricula can be developed. A course titled Digital Design Studio instructed by the author is an example of the application of the framework. Originally, there are three topics in the course, and the digital design framework is only applied to the last section called “Playing with Forms in Eisenman’s Language”, which runs for about six weeks.
All the teaching material and information are placed on a class website before the game-playing starts. The course participants are asked to submit their work on-line to a shared folder on the server. Whenever students feel that they have done enough exploration or need advice and comments from others, they are encouraged to post their work on a platform called Playground on the class website. Otherwise, there are explicit deadlines by which all participants must post the results of their exploration on Playground to share with the whole class. The following table shows the gaming-creating work prearranged by the instructor.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Digital Design Process</th>
<th>Context</th>
<th>Digital Tool</th>
<th>Clue</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Information Collection</td>
<td>Meeting Digital Eisenman</td>
<td>Going online is definitely the most convenient means to gather information</td>
<td>Make a list of websites related to architects, especially those who have used digital technologies to create amazing spaces. Other manual and notes will be given according to the feedback and interaction with students.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Data Analysis</td>
<td>Interpreting Eisenman’s Language</td>
<td>Use digital photo processing techniques to decompose images and compose collage</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Concept Generation</td>
<td>Transforming Eisenman’s Language</td>
<td>Continue to use digital photo processing techniques and other digital tools such as digital camera, scanner, and so on.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Design Development</td>
<td>Sculpting Your House with the Transformed Language</td>
<td>Experiment with manipulating tools provided by Form Z, 3d Max, Maya or other 3d modeling tools</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Detail Design</td>
<td>Designing the House</td>
<td>Manipulate the model more and apply color, light and texture to it.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Design Representation</td>
<td>Visualizing Aesthetics</td>
<td>Integrate the design to sophisticated digital presentation including static images and dynamic animations.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1: Example of Game-creating.

5  Conclusions
The applied example provided in this paper is not a thorough experiment designed to verify the framework. It is mainly used to further explain the framework. The Digital Design Studio stated above is a pilot study conducted by the author, and some phenomena that emerged from it will be foundations for future experiments.
Due to the premises of the framework, all participants achieve the goal in the end of the semester, and have their own individual interpretations of rewards after completing the games. This is crucial to the entry-level students because this learning framework has given them the confidence to continue and the competence to perform. Effective learning has much to do with taking risks (Lieberman 1977). This paper hypothesizes that the engagement of game elements in digital design processes will not only help students to learn effectively, but also enjoy the playfulness derived from it, particularly when instructors and students are both absorbed in the game-creating and game-playing process. Even the inviting atmosphere can increase students’ learning competence.
Furthermore, the framework of digital design process presented in this paper clarifies and systematizes a digital design process so that even inexperienced computer-capable instructors are able to incorporate digital media in the design of curricula, while taking advantage of the great potency of digital technologies. For further studies, besides the experiment that should be conducted to examine the framework, the performance of each component of the digital design games has not been fully developed. Especially when it comes to the interaction of game-playing, it should be more than just Web-based communications and comments from colleagues and juries. More interactive activities and evaluation systems can be achieved by technical advances.
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