

# Procedural Design

**Sean Ahlquist**

University of Michigan, Taubman College of Architecture and Urban Planning

Procedural design is often classified as a computational approach relying upon a set of instructions that, when used in a particular sequence, are the generators of form. While within this framework certain methods may be iterative and cyclical, procedural design often denotes the construction, conceptually, of a linear solver. The work documented in this section, though, shows a significant evolution of this approach. Intelligent systems are formed in which computation is given the freedom to absorb, interpret, and respond within the sequential set of procedures, thus shifting from linear logics to networked ones. This is addressed through papers that discuss the language through which such processes are enacted and explore the emergence of a built architecture through dynamic logics of design computation.

Ludwig von Bertalanffy established the sequencing of a feedback system as a part of General Systems Theory.<sup>1</sup> This laid the groundwork for the semantics and structure of procedural design. In essence, it is a methodology that is used to test the relationships of parameters through iteration. Bertalanffy classified the components of a feedback system by *count*, *species*, and *association*. These have been superseded as the metrics of design space, since procedural operations allow for the exploration, testing, and refinement of ideal parametric relationships. In this application, the feedback system is an active agent of design exploration.

Traditionally, procedural design has offered means of testing the relationships of parameters, but the work shown in this section demonstrates an evolution of this approach. Procedural processes become an active agent for resolving the relationships of *systems*. In Gerber's "Multi-Agent System for Design" and Savov's utilization of gameplay, logics of fabrication shift

from defining constraints to being exploratory agents for design ideation and the construction of architectural systems. Human inflection becomes an operational procedure in Johnson's work with SIFT algorithms and Sanchez's "Combinatorial Design." Both exploit the iterative facet of the feedback mechanism to scan massive datascares while interjecting the transformational feature of human intuition.

Emergence is an innate function of a properly constructed procedural design process. Through works such as Andréen's "Large Swarms of Simple Robots" and Rusenova's "Aggregate Architectures," it is possible to see a shift from merely seeking emergence to enabling machine intelligence to learn from and respond to specific emergent behaviors. Koschitz, through the visual programming language "Beetle Blocks," proposes a platform that simplifies the construction of procedural processes and the conceptualization of emergent design. Davis's incorporation of building evaluation and Smith's method for building automation subsequently extends, on a grand scale, the scope of procedural design. Data is either a live agent orchestrating building systems or an encapsulation of the live agents—the building occupants—to re-inform successive design explorations.

Collectively, the research in this section brings a valuable ambiguity to the finality of the feedback system. This reverberates into processes and modes of design, where the work provides a clear indication that architectural form is the enmeshment of systems, not just a collection of geometric constructs.

1. Ludwig von Bertalanffy, *General Systems Theory: Foundations, Development, Applications* (New York: George Braziller, 1969).

## Procedural Design

- 12 **David Jason Gerber, Evangelos Pantazis**  
A Multi-Agent System for Facade Design
- 24 **Anton Savov, Ben Buckton, Oliver Tessmann**  
20,000 Blocks
- 34 **Jason S. Johnson, Matthew Parker**  
Architectural Heat Maps: A Workflow for  
Synthesizing Data
- 44 **Jose Sanchez**  
Combinatorial design
- 54 **David Andréen, Petra Jenning, Nils Napp,  
Kirstin Petersen**  
Emergent Structures Assembled by Large Swarms  
of Simple Robots
- 62 **Gergana Rusenova, Karola Dierichs,  
Ehsan Baharlou, Achim Menges**  
Feedback- and Data-driven Design for Aggregate  
Architectures
- 72 **Paul Harrison**  
What Bricks Want: Machine Learning and  
Iterative Ruin
- 78 **Matthew Parker, Joshua M. Taron**  
Form-Making in SIFT Imaged Environments
- 88 **Christoph Klemmt, Klaus Bollinger**  
Load Responsive Angiogenesis Networks
- 98 **Shane Ida Smith, Chris Lasch**  
Machine Learning Integration for Adaptive  
Building Envelopes
- 106 **Subhajit Das, Colin Day, Anthony Hauck,  
John Haymaker, Diana Davis**  
Space Plan Generator
- 116 **Daniel Davis**  
Evaluating Buildings with Computation and  
Machine Learning
- 124 **Laura Ferrarello**  
The Tectonic of the Hybrid Real
- 130 **Duks Koschitz, Bernat Ramagosa, Eric Rosenbaum**  
Beetle Blocks
- 140 **Andrei Nejur, Kyle Steinfeld**  
Ivy