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The existent and idle stock of buildings is extensive. However, significant information about these buildings is hardly available. The real estate owners are usually not known by prospective customers and they can be elicited only with substantial effort. But even if data about a building is available, it is difficult to valuate it precisely, because there are no standard classification techniques available, which would also consider the subjective subsequent requirements of the interested parties. The question whether a building is suitable for a certain subsequent use is therefore hard to answer. It involves an extensive expenditure of time and manpower. No reliable statement about a prospective reuse of a building can be made on site by prospective clients, i.e. buyers or renters. Therefore, we examined the technology needed by the customer to accomplish in-situ ad-hoc analyses of existing buildings. These technologies are namely remote sensing devices using georeferenced data, Location-Based Services and web-based optimization techniques. The aim is to give prospective clients the possibility to visit a building and run an in-situ usability simulation. To accomplish this, building information will be transferred between the building and the client through the use of common communication devices. These devices automatically connect to server-based applications, which compare the requirements of the prospective customer with the existing building and run remote simulations on concrete further utilization. By the use of georeferenced data alternative locations of unused buildings can be integrated into the simulation as well.
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Introduction - The dilemma of revitalization

The size of the existing building stock is enormous. In Germany and in many other European countries a massive amount of buildings exists that is not used anymore. From an ecological point of view this development is crucial and has to be handled with great care. The most essential aspect of this development refers to the fact that existing buildings consumed a lot of energy during their erection and during the first phase of their life-cycle. As long as they remain untouched there would be no more energy consumption to be denoted. But unfortunately at the same time new buildings arise which in turn consume energy and often the erection of these new buildings involve the demolition of existing ones (which again consumes energy). Instead of questioning this process, it seems that no-one really has an interest to propagate the necessity of a continued use of old buildings. There is a great variety of reasons for this dilemma. On the one hand architects have a superior interest in new buildings, whereas on the other hand the revitalization of old buildings involves many unstable and unpredictable factors. But there are other reasons for this situation as well.

Firstly, there is no general repository about the building stock, which means even if a client is interested in using an old building it is hard for him to find appropriate information about buildings that fulfill his specific needs.

Secondly, information about buildings that are listed in inventories is not publicly available and even if, it is not standardized. Thus, different inventories from diverse owners or caretakers are difficult or impossible to be compared.

Thirdly, it is difficult to gather information about a building someone incidentally faces. Often the request to rent a building is not only made on stringent conditions. An interest could arise frequently by just passing by an appealing building or a pleasing neighborhood.

Whereas it is difficult to foster the architect’s interest in revitalization or to reduce the difficulties of unstable revitalization conditions, it is possible to find answers in terms of technology utilization to some of the other aspects brought forward, i.e. in-situ analyses.

Optimization techniques and inventory listings

In recent publications we demonstrated that requests for the re-use of buildings can be solved through the use of combinatorial optimization techniques (Loemker 2006a, 2006b, 2007). Within this approach we concentrated on the architect dealing with inquiries from clients. These inquiries typically address the question if specific buildings are suitable for particular future uses. The architect can solve these requests through a description of the existing buildings and the corresponding enquiries in terms of specific criteria such as number of rooms, size of rooms, adjacency between rooms, number of workgroups etc. According to an unambiguous syntax this approach can be applied to any building type. The information is stored in a database which can be accessed by an optimization engine that tries to find suitable solutions to the demands made by the client. Even if this approach demonstrated high potential its bottleneck lies in the exclusive use through the architect. Neither can it be addressed to buildings that are not listed in the architects own inventory nor can it be used by the client himself. Therefore continuative approaches have to deal with the following questions:

- What will be the primary aim of inventory listings of the building stock?
- Who has an interest in these listings?
- Which data has to be stored?
- How can listings be compiled (automatically)?
- How can it be achieved that inventory listings are publicly accessible?

And of superior interest and further discussed in this paper:
• Which technology is needed to access the listings?
• Which technology is needed to generate answers to revitalization requests?

Inventory listings
The aim of inventory listings is closely connected to the question of who has an interest in them. First and foremost their whole purpose is to make use of the existing building stock due to ecological reasons, i.e. the dissipation of energy through the erection of new buildings. Therefore there should be a general interest of the public to solve revitalization problems anyhow. More specific and less ideological the aim of inventory listings is to publicize the existence of buildings that might be suitable for new uses with the goal to sell or rent them. Within such a process there are at least two parties involved directly: sellers and buyers. There might be others involved working indirectly for any of these two parties, i.e. architects, town planners, municipalities, planning offices.

Data storage
Apart from buyers all parties mentioned above store information about unused buildings some way. Unfortunately the type of storage is not standardized. Thus, different data types exist (text, drawings, photos etc.), classification schemas, if any, are oriented towards the specific owner of the data and data formats represent the variety of software deployed. It is unnecessary to mention that this status quo is apparently not going to change shortly. Therefore it is reasonable to find the lowest common denominator all parties could comply to. In our approach it would be suitable to use ASCII-files to be imported into our databases. These files have to apply to an extensible classification schema, which currently lists issues such as number and name of floors and rooms, room sizes, location and previous uses.

Compilation
Most software products commonly used in the building sector are able to generate ASCII-files according to suchlike classification schemas. Within many applications programming interfaces exist that could aid this process. The majority of CAD-software supports the Industry Foundation Classes (IFC), through which the data needed can be automatically generated.

Access
As long as inventory listings are only available to those who have compiled them, the idea of revitalization would not spread out widely. It is therefore indispensable to find a medium that provides public access to the lists. Not only to share the data but to generate information as an answer to the users specific request. In our approach we refer to the utilization of remote sensing information technology, the Internet and Location Based Services to accomplish this demand.

The idea of Location Based Services (LBS) in revitalization
Let us consider a scenario of a prospective client who visits a city where he incidentally sees an unused building he might be interest in. The client has no idea if the building is able to meet his demands regarding the space needed for his company. To obtain more information about the building he should either contact the owner or a real estate agent. Both are unknown and difficult to find without substantial effort. To get reliable information about potential future uses he might as well involve an architect which would entail to invest money.

The use of Location-Based Services
To gather more information about the building the client could search for information on the Internet. With the aid of search engines like Google™ he would type keywords such as the name of the street and the city (i.e. the position) or he would try to find phone numbers of real estate agents and ask them if they now about the building. In any case the only valuable information the client can provide is the location of the building.
Based Services can employ this position automatically by using mobile devices. LBS can be described as follows:

“LBS are information services accessible with mobile devices through the mobile network and utilizing the ability to make use of the location of the mobile device.” (Virrantaus et al. 2001) referred to by (Steiniger et al. 2006).

It is important to notice that the client cannot gather information about the building in-situ with technology he usually does not have at hand. The idea of LBS is that through the use of commonly available mobile communication devices and with the aid of data relating to the position, time and individual user, information or services could be made available by a service provider.

**Components of Location Based Services**

**Mobile devices**
These devices assure communication between the client and the provider of location based services. Mobile devices can be cellular phones, smartphones, PDA’s, PNA’s or notebooks. It is important that they have the capability to communicate via any kind of communication network.

**Communication networks**
The mobile network transfers data from the users’ mobile device to the provider of the Location Based Service. This communication is bi-directional so that interaction between the parties is possible.
Positioning component
To deliver a service to a client’s mobile device, its position has to be determined. This is either possible through the use of the devices’ own mobile communication network or by the use of the Global Positioning System (GPS). In the later case the mobile device has to have a GPS component attached to or build into it (e.g. PNA’s). Generally spoken a position of a target device can be determined by the target device itself (GPS) or by a Position Finder which could be the provider of the phone service. This provider detects the position of the mobile device according to information from his base stations tracking the position of mobile devices in radio cells. These tracking positions usually need to be converted (by a Location Finder) into a format that can be used by the Service and Application Provider. It is also possible to determine the position through Wireless Local Area Networks (WLAN).

Service and application provider (LBS Provider)
This provider makes use of the position data generated by the position finder and integrates them into his applications, i.e. the service requested by the client.

Data and content provider
Sometimes the LBS Provider does not maintain specific data that is needed to provide a service (e.g. maps for route finding). In this case Data and Content Providers deliver missing data.

Service user (LBSUser)
The LBS User makes a request to the LBS Provider and calls for a specific service. These services can be distinguished into two different kinds:
- Pull Services (reactive services)
- Push Services (proactive services)
Reactive services are directly inquired by the user, whereas proactive services are either indirectly or not inquired by the user. They can be activated through specific events. An indirectly inquired service could be a subscription to a service which would only be activated if a pre-defined condition arises (i.e. the user is situated at a specific location). Not inquired services can be advertisement massages, which are as well activated by entering a specific location.

**Techniques for client-participation**

The basic idea underlying the approach presented herein is to use an optimization engine to execute a client request. The engine itself is not available on the clients own device. This is due to the fact that no mobile device would be capable to provide enough compute power to solve complex requests. In addition the client has no information about the buildings structure, i.e. inventory listings of the building do not exist on his device. Hence, the idea is to provide access to all necessary components via the use of communication devices, whereas the focus lies on the condition that the least demands have to be made to the clients’ devices.

**WLAN (Wireless Local Area Networks)**

The easiest and cheapest possibility to setup LBS in revitalization would be through the use of an open WLAN. In this case charges for the service do not necessarily have to accrue for the client. It entails however that the client has a wireless mobile device such as a PDA or a notebook at his disposal. It also entails that the WLAN access-point is fixed to the building and that the client is within the reach covered by the network. If the WLAN would be accessed it would redirect the client to a website where he could retrieve information about the building. Through this website he could also access a web-based front-end to enter data about his specific needs. This data would be passed by the web server to a high-performance computing center where a simulation of the request is processed with the aid of optimization engines.

The results would be retransferred to the web server and displayed on the website, i.e. on the clients’ notebook or PDA. There are several bottlenecks in this approach referring to the WLAN, the mobile devices and security. In the first instance it cannot be guaranteed that each and every building can be equipped with a WLAN. It is also questionable how to deal with a situation where different buildings from different owners are situated at almost the same location. Furthermore, not every prospective client keeps a notebook or a PDA with him all the time. Regarding security an open WLAN might also be accessed by others and potentially be damaged. Maintenance for such a system would be costly and maybe not effective enough. WLANs could cover ranges between 10m and 100m, depending on the technology used (Ultra-Wideband / 10m, IEEE 802.11a / 50m, IEEE 802.11b / 100m). They achieve data transfer rates of up to 54 Mbit/s (IEEE 802.11g).

Recapitulating these facts it can be said that an LBS based on WLAN-technology might be one of the cheapest, yet simplest possibilities to gain information about a buildings revitalization capabilities. It would be easy to set up but would have some shortcomings. Most laborious is the necessity to fix access-points to every building.

**WWAN (Wireless Wide Area Networks)**

The most common WWAN communication technologies are GSM (Global System for Mobile Communications) and GPRS (General Packet Radio Service). Both Services belong to the second generation of mobile communication standards. Due to the fact that both services originate from voice communication requests, their data transfer rates are quite low and not sufficient for extensive multimedia applications. Other than these two services the roots of the third generation UMTS (Universal Mobile Telecommunications System) lies in multimedia communication. Thus, it provides much higher data transfer rates but is due to its high costs still not widely-used. WWANs cover ranges between 100m and 35km, which means that the distance of the mobile device, which
is usually a cellular phone, from the base station is within that range. While communication through a WLAN entails that the position of the client and the building is known through the fixed position of the access-point, communication over WWANs comprises two kinds of position tracking. On the one hand the client could call upon specific services that would track his position. This could be achieved with the aid of a position finder who would make use of the clients’ devices own mobile communication network within which his position is known. On the other hand the client could make use of GPS data received from his GPS-compliant phone. In either case the biggest advantage of WWAN-technology is that it is not necessary to affix any devices to the buildings themselves. This is an invaluable factor to foster the willingness of building owners to participate in Location Based Services. Communication through WWANs is definitely more complicated to set up and requires higher technological effort. Their data transfer rates reach only 14,4 Kbit/s (GSM), 20 Kbit/s (GPRS) or 3,6 Mbit/s (UMTS, HSDPA-Cate- gory 6). However, the advantages of device-independent position tracking outweigh the disadvantages. Since 2000 the accuracy of position finding using GPS averages 15m, whereas GSM positioning is less accurate and more complex to determine.

**WPAN (Wireless Personal Area Networks)**

These networks cover ranges between 0,2m and 50m. Their data transfer rate reaches up to 2,1 Mbit/s (Bluetooth 2.0) and 16 Mbit/s (IrDA (Infrared Data Association)). Most disadvantages that apply to a WLAN apply to a WPAN as well.

**Summary**

Even though Wireless Wide Area Networks provide the lowest data transfer rate and are more cost-intensive than other technologies we recommend their use in Location Based Services for Revitalization. The essential reason is that services can be provided device-independent. Thus the building owners do not need to install any hardware within the building. The only need is to make data about the building available to the Service- or Content Provider. The client however needs only a common portable device such as a cellular phone or a smartphone. If he traces an interesting building the service could be inquired directly by him (Pull Service). Position finding would then be conducted automatically and the ascertained position data would be transferred to the Service Provider to query the database in terms of the building which is closest to the clients’ position. Alternatively the service could be inquired through a subscription that activates it once the client passes through an area within which buildings are located that match his predefined needs (Push Service).
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